



White Paper

December 2021

**From the General Public
to America's Jails:
MAT Saves Lives**

This report was funded by Indivior

***Championing the field and serving the public through
research, professional education, scholarships, and reentry support***



From the General Public to America’s Jails: MAT Saves Lives

Contents

Executive Summary..... 1

Detailed Report..... 2

 Introduction to the Problem 2

 Correctional Populations 2

 Available Treatment – General 3

 Medications for Treatment..... 3

 Effectiveness of MOUD 6

 OUD Treatment in Corrections 6

 Availability of MOUD in Jails 7

 Effectiveness of Jail-Based MOUD 7

 Overdose 8

 Treatment Retention 8

 Illicit Use..... 8

 Criminal Justice Outcomes..... 9

 Costs..... 9

 Barriers..... 9

 Stigma 10

 Diversion 10

 Legal and Regulatory Barriers 11

 Health Care Coverage and Costs..... 13

 Conclusion..... 14

About the NCCHC Foundation 15

Endnotes 16

ACKNOWLEDGMENT



This report was funded by Indivior through its membership as a Gold Partner in the NCCHC Foundation’s Partners in Correctional Health Annual Giving Society. Indivior is working to change patients’ lives by developing medicines to treat substance use disorders and serious mental illnesses.



From the General Public to America's Jails: MAT Saves Lives

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The global opioid epidemic, which has proliferated over the past two decades, continues to persist. In the United States, a public health emergency was declared as hundreds of thousands of people have fallen victim to opioid-related overdose deaths in the past decade. Moreover, the transition from narcotic pain relievers to heroin, now often tainted with fentanyl and its analogs, has exacerbated this growing public health issue.

While the opioid epidemic greatly affects the general population of Americans (and globally), it is highly evident among people involved in the criminal justice system. Despite research indicating the efficacy of medications currently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (methadone, buprenorphine, naltrexone) in treating opioid use disorder (OUD), opioid-related overdose deaths continue to rise each year and access to treatment remains impeded. This is particularly the case for justice-involved people, and especially for those who find themselves in America's jails.

Jails present a critical opportunity to offer MOUD given the elevated risk and need of the population

Research demonstrates that these medications save lives, improve public safety, and promote public health. Yet, adoption of these medications for OUD (MOUD) treatment has been slow within the criminal justice system, even more so within our jails. Beyond a system operating on finite and limited resources, compounding the adoption of these medications are unique barriers, including stigma, medical coverage, and legal and regulatory issues. Some states and federal authorities, however, have begun making changes to the system in an effort to expand access to these medications for the treatment of OUD among justice-involved people. Jails, in particular, present a critical opportunity to offer MOUD given the elevated risk and need of the population and the "revolving door" of individuals entering and exiting our jails each year.

If policymakers and health care providers are truly interested in reducing recidivism, enhancing public safety, and promoting public health by way of reduced overdose, overdose deaths, and spread of infectious disease, more deliberate movements need to be made in expanding MOUD treatment to people in jail and those being released.



DETAILED REPORT

INTRODUCTION TO THE PROBLEM

We are in the midst of an opioid epidemic. Globally, the opioid overdose crisis is now considered a major public health challenge, associated with elevated rates of morbidity and mortality¹. In a matter of two decades, between 1999 and 2018, more than 450,000 opioid-related overdose deaths occurred in the United States². After nearly 50,000 opioid overdose deaths were reported in 2017, the United States declared a national public health emergency³.

The majority of people with OUD will experience at least one episode of incarceration, typically in a county jail

In recent years, more than an estimated two million Americans met criteria for opioid use disorder, or OUD⁴, as defined in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edition. Furthermore, in 2018, an estimated 10.3 million people in the United States misused opioids, 800,000 of whom misused heroin and the remaining misusing narcotic pain relievers⁵. As a result, emergency room visits for suspected opioid overdoses have surged⁶. These numbers continue to rise, reaching an all-time high of more than 83,000 opioid overdose deaths in 2020⁷ followed by nearly 97,000 opioid overdose deaths in 2021⁸.

As those with OUD shift from prescription opioid narcotics to heroin, the opioid overdose epidemic has been exacerbated by contaminated heroin supplies⁹ and by the proliferation of fentanyl. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has identified fentanyl as the deadliest drug in America; it is approximately 50 times more potent than heroin and 100 times more potent than morphine¹⁰. Several states have documented increases in fentanyl-related overdose deaths. From 2013 to 2014, law enforcement seizures of drugs containing fentanyl increased by 426% and, during that same time, fentanyl-involved overdose deaths increased by 80%¹¹. Overdose deaths involving synthetic opioids, primarily fentanyl, have risen dramatically since, reaching more than 36,000 in 2019¹².

Compounding the already devastating problem facing the United States is that illicitly manufactured fentanyl analogs (e.g., acetylfentanyl, furanylfentanyl, and carfentanil) have been combined with or substituted for heroin since 2013¹³. While these analogs are similar to fentanyl in their chemical structure and range in potency, being either weaker or exponentially stronger, they are also more difficult to detect and require specialized toxicology testing¹⁴.

Correctional Populations

The pervasive trends of the OUD and opioid-related overdose crisis found in the general population disproportionately affect those involved in the criminal justice system¹⁵. Globally, it has been reported that 10% of incarcerated individuals have used heroin at some point during their incarceration, with one third indicating past-month use while incarcerated¹⁶. The majority of people with OUD will experience at least one episode of incarceration, typically in a county jail¹⁷. In fact, an estimated 24% to 36% of opioid-dependent adults cycle through our jails annually¹⁸.



Among our incarcerated populations, opioid-related overdose is a leading cause of death, during or following incarceration¹⁹. In a study of formerly incarcerated people in Washington State between 2000 and 2009, opioids were involved in 14.8% of all deaths and nearly 60% of overdose deaths²⁰. One study found that individuals leaving jails and prisons are between 10 and 40 times more likely to die of an opioid overdose than the general population, making them one of the groups at highest risk for opioid overdose²¹. Moreover, the risk for overdose death in the first few weeks postrelease is more than 120 times greater than in the general population²². This population's increased risk for overdose postrelease can be explained, at least in part, by decreased drug tolerance. For instance, with repeated drug use, a person becomes physiologically dependent on the drug, requiring more to reach the intended effect (i.e., increased tolerance). People with OUD, however, lose this increased tolerance while incarcerated due to presumed abstinence and thus are at high risk of overdose death in the weeks postrelease²³.

The majority of individuals held in jail who use opioids, including heroin, experience opioid withdrawal upon jail admission and face high rates of relapse, opioid overdose, and death following release²⁴, as well as increased likelihood of continued involvement in the criminal justice system²⁵. By focusing more on public health interventions, such as opioid agonist therapy (OAT²⁶), rather than our traditional societal response often centered on punishment and incarceration²⁷, we would likely see a reduction in overall mortality, overdose, HIV and hepatitis C risk behaviors, and recidivism²⁸.

AVAILABLE TREATMENT – GENERAL

Despite the alarming statistics centering on opioid misuse and overdose deaths in the United States, only about 20% of Americans with an OUD received treatment in the year prior²⁹. This percentage has remained stable over the past several years, reflecting a disconnect between need, access, and uptake³⁰. Recently, the U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services noted that treating OUD without OAT was tantamount to treating an infection without antibiotics³¹. Among the general population with diagnosed OUD, OAT is highly effective³². Numerous studies demonstrate OAT's ability to dramatically reduce the risk for mortality among those with OUD³³. It can reduce the risk for relapse, increase retention in treatment, reduce problematic opioid use, and decrease risk for HIV and hepatitis C³⁴. It has also been associated with a broad range of personal and social gains, such as improvements in employment rates and improved family functioning³⁵.

Importantly, failing to provide OUD has direct and indirect costs. It is imperative we consider the costs associated with untreated opioid use disorders, including costs associated with criminal justice, health care, and public health. One analysis, for example, suggested that the total costs of prescription opioid use disorders and overdoses in the United States was \$78 billion in 2013 alone. Of that, only about \$2.8 billion was for treatment³⁶.

Medications for Treatment

OUD is a chronic, treatable illness and disability that is best treated in the community. It is similar to diabetes in that it has no cure but can be treated and managed. A wide range of treatments is available to manage the disease under a comprehensive care plan that includes medication and psychosocial services. Specifically, use of medications for opioid use disorder (MOUD) is considered the gold standard



of care for those with OUD³⁷ as scientific evidence has firmly established their ability to save lives³⁸. MOUD treatment improves medical and mental health outcomes and reduces relapses and recidivism³⁹.

The American Society of Addiction Medicine finds that all three medications are cost-effective and clinically effective

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved three medications for the treatment of OUD: methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone⁴⁰. The American Society of Addiction Medicine

(ASAM) finds that all three medications are cost-effective and clinically effective in reducing opioid use and opioid-related withdrawal and craving symptoms, as well as reducing risk for infectious disease, overdose deaths, and criminal activity. All three are evidence-based, safe, and effective. They work by first managing withdrawal symptoms and then by controlling or eliminating the compulsive opioid use⁴¹. Importantly, all three medications work by targeting the mu opioid receptor in the endogenous opioid system, although each has a distinct mechanism for doing so. Due to their differing pharmacological, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacokinetic properties, the drugs' safety and efficacy also vary⁴².

Methadone

First approved by the FDA in 1972 for the treatment of opioid addiction, methadone is a synthetic, long-acting full mu opioid agonist⁴³. Methadone maintenance treatment, the combination of behavioral therapy, counseling, and methadone provision, is an effective, evidence-based approach to address OUD and overdose⁴⁴. As a full mu opioid agonist, methadone fully activates the mu opioid receptors in the brain in the same way prescription or illicit opioids would⁴⁵. In occupying these receptors, methadone is able to lessen the painful lows of withdrawal while, in therapeutic doses, attenuating the euphoric highs of shorter-acting opioids⁴⁶ (e.g., heroin, oxycodone).

One benefit of methadone initiation is that an individual need not go through opioid withdrawal because it is a full agonist; therefore, treatment can begin at any time. It can be used for withdrawal, to reduce cravings, and as a maintenance medication to reduce use⁴⁷. Treatment must be individualized, however, and can take days to weeks to achieve a therapeutic dose⁴⁸. This is highly important as failing to achieve a therapeutic dose could result in opioid overdose⁴⁹. Because it sustains opioid tolerance and physical dependence, it cannot be discontinued without producing withdrawal symptoms⁵⁰.

Methadone can be provided only within opioid treatment programs (OTPs) regulated by SAMHSA and the Drug Enforcement Administration⁵¹ (DEA). So long as the given state allows, no special training is required to prescribe methadone for providers working in an OTP⁵². It can be offered in liquid, powder, and wafer forms and is taken daily⁵³. For those who meet certain criteria, such as having a stable period of good functioning and no illicit use, methadone may be prescribed in take-home doses⁵⁴. Assuming daily visits, the estimated cost of methadone treatment, including medication and integrated psychosocial and medical support services offered in a certified OTP, is \$126 per week or \$6,552 per year⁵⁵. For context, it costs approximately \$3,500 per year to treat an individual with diabetes⁵⁶.



Buprenorphine

The FDA approved buprenorphine in 2002⁵⁷. As a partial agonist, it does not fully substitute for other opioids (like heroin and oxycodone). It also blocks the effects of opioids if used concurrently⁵⁸, reduces cravings and withdrawal symptoms, and reduces overdose potential due to its reduced effect on respiratory depression compared to methadone⁵⁹. Arguably, when used appropriately, buprenorphine is safer and more convenient than methadone; however, it can be used inappropriately – crushed and snorted or injected to produce a high similar to other opioids⁶⁰. Achieving a therapeutic dose for this agonist is typically achieved in just a few days⁶¹. Similar to methadone, buprenorphine also sustains opioid tolerance and physical dependence, which can lead to withdrawal upon discontinuation; the severity of symptoms may be less than that of methadone⁶². The biggest risk in buprenorphine initiation is the threat of nonfatal opioid withdrawal with the first dose, but the risk for overdose death immediately declines upon its initiation⁶³.

Buprenorphine is most commonly prescribed in an office setting but can also be prescribed in an OTP. A patient can fill the prescription at a regular pharmacy⁶⁴. Traditionally, to treat using buprenorphine, medical providers (i.e., physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants) must possess a federal waiver from the DEA, which requires special training. For those working under the supervision of a waived practitioner, no special requirements exist⁶⁵. Unfortunately, few providers are waived to prescribe buprenorphine and those who are typically are located in urban areas. Among those who are waived, most do not prescribe to their maximum patient limit⁶⁶ (275). Regulations regarding the prescription of buprenorphine, however, are being loosened (see *Legal and Regulatory Barriers* section).

Administration of buprenorphine can be daily for those receiving it in oral tablet or sublingual film form, monthly for those receiving injections, or every six months for those receiving the subdermal buprenorphine implant⁶⁷ (i.e., Probuphine). Assuming it is being provided to a stable individual in a certified OTP, estimated costs for the provision of buprenorphine and twice-weekly visits is \$115 per week or \$5,980 per year⁶⁸. This is similar in cost to methadone.

Naltrexone

Although methadone and buprenorphine are opioids and can be misused, naltrexone is not. It is a long-acting full antagonist, meaning it completely blocks the euphoric and analgesic effects of all opioids⁶⁹. It does this by binding to the opioid receptors and making them unavailable for stimulation of opioids⁷⁰. This means that it not only eliminates the risk for physical dependence, but also does not produce the “highs” of opioid use. Importantly, withdrawal from opioids must be complete prior to naltrexone initiation; if opioids are in a person’s body upon naltrexone ingestion, the combination could produce immediate withdrawal. Extended-release naltrexone is recommended for preventing relapse for those no longer physically dependent on opioids⁷¹. Following sustained use, cravings decline⁷².

Naltrexone can be prescribed by any provider otherwise licensed to prescribe medication⁷³; no special training or waiver is required. It may be administered daily in oral form or monthly via intramuscular injection. Only the extended-release form has been approved by the FDA for OUD treatment. This is because the oral formulation lacks efficacy for increasing treatment retention and decreasing opioid use⁷⁴, while increasing risk of overdose compared to methadone⁷⁵. Assuming an individual receives



naltrexone in an OTP, including drug, drug administration, and related services, the estimated costs are \$1,176 per month, or \$14,112 per year. Costs and the requirement of medically supervised withdrawal prior to initiation present substantial barriers to its adoption.

Effectiveness of MOUD

While studies show that all three FDA-approved medications for OUD are effective in reducing return to illicit opioid use, some medications are more effective than others⁷⁶. The evidence is clear that the safest option for treating OUD is through effective use of agonist medication over an indefinite period⁷⁷. The strongest evidence of efficacy in reducing both opioid use and treatment dropout rests with agonist medications⁷⁸. Methadone is the most used and studied medication for OUD worldwide and clinical trials have demonstrated that it reduces illicit opioid use, treats OUD, and retains patients in treatment better than placebo or no medication⁷⁹. It has also been associated with reduced criminality⁸⁰.

The evidence is clear that the safest option for treating OUD is through effective use of agonist medication over an indefinite period

Studies assessing buprenorphine show that it is effective in retaining patients in treatment and reducing illicit opioid use⁸¹. Generally, treatment using methadone and/or buprenorphine has been linked to substantially decreased risks of both all-cause and overdose-related mortality⁸², lower rates of other opioid use⁸³, improved social functioning⁸⁴, decreased injection drug use⁸⁵, reduced HIV transmission risk behaviors⁸⁶, reduced risk of HIV diagnosis⁸⁷, reduced risk of hepatitis C virus infection⁸⁸, and better quality of life compared to individuals with OUD not in treatment⁸⁹.

Though the evidence is far more limited, extended-release injectable naltrexone has demonstrated efficacy in reducing return to illicit opioid use⁹⁰, reducing opioid craving⁹¹, reducing likelihood of rearrest⁹², increasing treatment retention⁹³, reducing overdoses⁹⁴, and reducing hospital admissions⁹⁵. However, research suggests it is more difficult to initiate patients onto naltrexone, compared to buprenorphine, and is associated with greater risk of return to opioid use⁹⁶.

Although medication alone is effective for the treatment of OUD, a combination of appropriate behavioral interventions that address underlying psychological contributors of OUD increases the efficacy of MOUD. Even when counseling is not available, the provision of these medications independently is still recommended⁹⁷.

OUD TREATMENT IN CORRECTIONS

OUD prevalence is high among people in prisons and jails, and thus correctional facilities have important roles to play in ensuring appropriate treatment for people with this chronic illness⁹⁸. Jails process more drug withdrawals than any other single institution but often do not have the medical resources necessary to manage severe withdrawal. As jails are often considered the gateway to the correctional system, they are the most likely to encounter acute withdrawal among opioid-dependent people⁹⁹. The incarceration of opioid-dependent adults often results in opioid withdrawal syndrome, which, at a minimum, should be treated humanely¹⁰⁰. When MOUD is not provided in the correctional setting, individuals who are addicted to opioids may experience withdrawal symptoms. This can include severe



physical discomfort, psychological distress, and risk of suicide, and, if left untreated, death. It also leads to loss of opioid tolerance, thereby increasing risk of fatal and nonfatal overdose postrelease¹⁰¹.

Formerly incarcerated people are at increased risk for death, particularly from drug-related causes¹⁰², including elevated risk for opioid-related mortality among those with OUD¹⁰³. In fact, in a study of formerly incarcerated people in Washington State, opioids were involved in 14.8% of all deaths and nearly 60% of all overdose deaths between 2000 and 2009¹⁰⁴. This risk for overdose and overdose death is particularly the case in the first few weeks following release when riskier patterns of substance use are adopted, combined with reduced tolerance, and in the absence of proper OAT or with medication discontinuation¹⁰⁵. Given this risk, incarcerated people should be a priority population for OUD medications.

Availability of MOUD in Jails

Alternatives to withdrawal need to be supported in correctional facilities. The American Correctional Association/National Governors Association¹⁰⁶, ASAM¹⁰⁷, the National Academies¹⁰⁸, and the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC)¹⁰⁹ recommend that all three FDA-approved MOUD be available in corrections. Access to MOUD in jails and prisons is one targeted approach that can help decrease risk for overdose deaths. At minimum, either methadone or buprenorphine should be available for maintenance treatment and opioid withdrawal. If resources are available, naltrexone should also be available¹¹⁰.

The policy landscape on MOUD in jails and prisons is rapidly evolving

Opioid agonist therapies (i.e., methadone, buprenorphine) are effective reentry interventions and are the most commonly prescribed MOUD treatments in the community¹¹¹.

Despite the established benefits and feasibility of providing MOUD in custody, available evidence shows that many correctional facilities do not provide access to MOUD, in some cases do not even continue community-initiated MOUD, or provide MOUD only in limited circumstances¹¹². Jails have been slow to adopt initiation of MAT for those not already receiving it in the community¹¹³. However, the policy landscape on MOUD in jails and prisons is rapidly evolving¹¹⁴. For example, some states have taken legislative or executive action to encourage or mandate that facilities provide access to MOUD.

Litigation by affected individuals has also helped increase access to MOUD in correctional facilities. Moreover, a number of states that had enacted restrictive rules governing MOUD access only a few years ago have since updated their policies to apply to more (or all) prison facilities, to provide broader MOUD treatment options, and to allow for MOUD for a longer term¹¹⁵. Providers in correctional facilities should follow ASAM guidelines when treating people with OUD. From a legal perspective, OUD is a protected disability under federal law. Recent court rulings have affirmed the right of people with OUD in jails and prisons to receive MOUD¹¹⁶.

Effectiveness of Jail-Based MOUD

Generally, research has supported the use of MOUD for incarcerated populations with OUD. Evidence shows several important benefits postincarceration, including increased treatment retention¹¹⁷ and reduced illicit opioid use¹¹⁸, reduced criminal behavior and recidivism¹¹⁹, reduced mortality and



overdose risk¹²⁰, and reduced HIV/hepatitis C risk behaviors¹²¹. These improved postrelease outcomes are particularly true for those initiated on opioid agonist medications during incarceration compared to those forced to undergo withdrawal¹²².

Importantly, incarcerated people with OUD should not be forced into withdrawal, nor should they be forced onto MOUD if they decline or otherwise do not meet the criteria. Forced withdrawal has several negative outcomes: It discourages engagement in community treatment, increases the risk for substance use while incarcerated, and increases risk for postrelease death¹²³. Should an incarcerated person choose to decline MOUD and undergo withdrawal, this should be accomplished using tapered doses of buprenorphine¹²⁴. In particular, pregnant individuals should have timely access to MOUD and avoid withdrawal to reduce maternal and fetal risks of opioid withdrawal¹²⁵.

Incarcerated people with OUD should not be forced into withdrawal

Overdose

It is known that those released from jails and prisons are one of the most at-risk groups for overdose and overdose death. MOUD significantly reduces overdose deaths postrelease¹²⁶. For example, after the Rhode Island Department of Corrections¹²⁷ began offering a choice of all FDA-approved medications to those screening positive for OUD¹²⁸, postrelease deaths decreased 61% compared to the year prior. In fact, this reduction accounted for much of the state's 12% overall reduction in overdose deaths¹²⁹. In a recent study, Macmadu and colleagues¹³⁰ found that expanding access to all three MOUDs in prisons and jails could reduce overdose deaths by nearly 32% in certain circumstances.

Treatment Retention

Overwhelmingly, the evidence suggests that incarcerated people with OUD initiated on MOUD and counseling while incarcerated are more likely to engage in treatment postrelease than those who do not receive medication while incarcerated¹³¹. Providing treatment in custody promotes engagement in and continuity of community-based treatment postrelease, especially when facilitation of community services exists¹³². This also presents an opportunity to assess and possibly treat any underlying mental health issues that may be exacerbating an individual's opioid use. Importantly, although relapse is often expected, the risk for death for those with OUD is mitigated by remaining in treatment¹³³.

Illicit Use

Demonstrating a reduced risk to public health, one study of people initiated on methadone in prison found reduced illicit use of opioids by injection (i.e., heroin) postrelease compared to those who were put through forced withdrawal¹³⁴. Additionally, compared to those who received either prison-based counseling only or counseling with a referral for methadone treatment upon release, those who received counseling and methadone while in prison were less likely to have a positive drug screen for opioids in the year following release¹³⁵.



Criminal Justice Outcomes

Several studies have shown improved criminal justice outcomes. In fact, lower rearrest and reincarceration rates have been reported among those who receive methadone or buprenorphine treatment for OUD¹³⁶. Specifically, one study has shown that individuals released from jail and continued on methadone in the community were less likely to be rebooked and had longer periods of time in the community prior to rebooking compared to those who did not receive methadone in the community¹³⁷. Furthermore, people initiated on MOUD in jail experienced significantly fewer days of reincarceration compared to those who did not receive medications while incarcerated; on average, jail-based MOUD reduced recidivism nearly 25 days¹³⁸.

More research is needed on the effect MOUD has on criminal justice outcomes

Still, more research is needed on the MOUD effect has on criminal justice outcomes, such as rearrest, reincarceration, and general criminal activity. While some studies have shown improved outcomes, others have shown no effect¹³⁹.

Research is ramping up, however. For example, Scott and colleagues¹⁴⁰ and Gordon and colleagues¹⁴¹ are conducting studies on the effects (e.g., relapse, overdose deaths, reincarceration) of MOUD on those incarcerated in jails in Illinois and Maryland.

Costs

Focusing on corrections-based substance abuse treatment generally¹⁴² and MOUD efforts specifically can result in a meaningful return on investment through reduced or offset costs to the health system¹⁴³. For example, the state of Kentucky estimated that for every \$1 spent on corrections-based substance abuse treatment, there was a return on investment of over \$4 in offset costs in fiscal year 2017¹⁴⁴. Prescription opioid misuse, addiction, and overdose are estimated to cost \$78 billion annually in health care, criminal justice, and lost productivity¹⁴⁵. Studies have, however, found that access to MOUD treatment can reduce overall health care costs, mainly due to avoided emergency room department visits and inpatient stays¹⁴⁶. More specifically, offering MOUD to intravenous drug users helps to lower incidence of expensive complications that are the result of their use, including endocarditis, abscesses, and infectious disease¹⁴⁷. MOUD can also save insurers costs in the long run¹⁴⁸.

Research indicates that those treated with buprenorphine had less use of general medical services, including lower outpatient, inpatient, and emergency department utilization, as well as lower total health care costs compared to those who received little to no addiction treatment¹⁴⁹. Studies estimate that annual health care costs for those treated with buprenorphine were between \$13,578 and \$28,458 compared to \$31,000 to \$49,051 for similarly situated individuals who received no treatment¹⁵⁰. Finally, while few studies explore the economic benefits of providing MOUD in jails, Horn and colleagues found that it costs substantially less to provide jail-based MOUD (\$23.49/day) than incarceration alone (\$116.49/day). This is due to reduced recidivism and resulting decrease in days of incarceration¹⁵¹.

BARRIERS

Despite the strong evidence for the effectiveness of MOUD in improving and saving lives for those with OUD, numerous barriers limit access to medication-based treatment. These barriers exist in the general



public and are exacerbated in correctional populations. These barriers include stigma, risk and perception of diversion, legal and regulatory issues, and health care coverage and costs.

Stigma

A great deal of stigma exists toward those with OUD and the medications used to treat OUD. For example, national public opinion polls routinely indicate high levels of negative attitudes toward the individual and MOUD, among both the general public and professionals who are often involved with affected populations. One national opinion poll found high levels of negative attitudes toward OUD compared to other medical conditions, including mental illness¹⁵². In another national survey, three-quarters of respondents felt those with OUD were to blame for their use; three-quarters also indicated that those with OUD simply lacked self-discipline. Two-thirds of these respondents said they would not allow an individual with OUD to marry into their family, and a majority supported discrimination of those with OUD, including the denial of employment. This held true even for individuals with personal experience with OUD¹⁵³. This stigma is also present among medical professionals, including physicians¹⁵⁴, and public safety and criminal justice professionals¹⁵⁵. These rates of stigma are as high or higher than in the general public¹⁵⁶.

A great deal of stigma exists toward those with OUD and the medications used to treat OUD

Stigma also exists toward the medications used to treat OUD, particularly opioid agonist medications. Interestingly, half of American adults believe no evidence base for MOUD exists¹⁵⁷. Among drug court personnel¹⁵⁸ and those working in the prison system, high levels of misinformation and stigma have been identified related to methadone and buprenorphine. Misperceptions of drug substitution – the belief that MOUD simply replaces one drug for another – are also common¹⁵⁹. In reality, when provided according to clinical guidelines, these medications relieve withdrawal symptoms and cravings and can support recovery. Evidence indicates that at proper doses, MOUD has no adverse effects on mental capability or physical functioning, or important occupational functioning such as employability¹⁶⁰.

This stigma is important to understand and confront as it lends itself to greater support for punitive policy responses, such as increased arrests and harsher sentencing of those with OUD. It also undermines support for public health approaches, including the provision of MOUD¹⁶¹. Further research must be done on correctional, community, and individual attitudes toward MOUD and findings used to develop effective training and strategies to reduce the stigma associated with this treatment. This includes educating criminal justice practitioners about the relevant health and criminal justice-related benefits¹⁶² (e.g., reduced recidivism).

Diversion

Concerns about the misuse and diversion of MOUD may impact providers' willingness to prescribe these medications. This arises from stigma and misunderstanding over motivation for use of diverted medication¹⁶³. Prescribers often cite concerns of diversion as a barrier to treating those with OUD¹⁶⁴. In fact, about one third of those prescribing buprenorphine indicated diversion as a significant or very significant concern¹⁶⁵. However, only 10% of buprenorphine-waivered providers shared this concern compared with 26% of nonwaivered providers¹⁶⁶; this may suggest that the additional training required



to receive a waiver lessens concern about diversion. Importantly, methadone diversion rates have declined by 13% annually since 2011¹⁶⁷, resulting in a diversion rate lower than that of buprenorphine. In addition, buprenorphine misuse and diversion tend to decline as its availability increases¹⁶⁸.

While both methadone and buprenorphine treatment pose some risk for diversion ... overall rates of illicit drug use decline

It is important to understand why buprenorphine may be misused or diverted. These reasons include peer pressure, a desire to help a close associate, for economic gain, and lack of access to buprenorphine treatment¹⁶⁹. In fact, it is more likely that an individual misuses buprenorphine to relieve withdrawal symptoms than to achieve any euphoric effect¹⁷⁰. Finally, while both methadone and buprenorphine treatment pose some risk for diversion in prisons and jails, evidence suggests that overall rates of illicit drug use decline following the introduction of MOUD and reduce disciplinary problems¹⁷¹.

Legal and Regulatory Barriers

Even within the mainstream medical care system, legal and regulatory barriers prevent broad access to MOUD. The most stringently regulated of the three FDA-approved medications is methadone as it can be dispensed only by an OTP that is certified by SAMHSA and registered with the DEA. Similarly, buprenorphine, traditionally, can be prescribed only by a medical provider¹⁷² who has received training and certification from the DEA. If the given state allows, a provider may prescribe buprenorphine without a waiver but to a reduced patient capacity. Naltrexone, however, can be prescribed by any provider otherwise able to prescribe medication in general¹⁷³. In fact, a significant factor contributing to treatment barriers is the scarcity of providers willing and able to prescribe MOUD¹⁷⁴.

Both methadone and buprenorphine come with their own legal and regulatory barriers. With methadone, OTPs face limitations in tailoring treatment plans to individual needs as well as limitations related to take-home medications, the supervision of medication consumption, and mandated drug testing and counseling¹⁷⁵. These requirements may discourage providers from opening new OTPs¹⁷⁶. Clients are typically required to visit the OTP daily for their medication, which can diminish their quality of life, including impeding employment seeking and obligations as well as negatively impacting their relationships. Calls have been increasing to allow the prescription of methadone in a wider range of medical settings, including primary care offices¹⁷⁷.

Calls have been increasing to allow the prescription of methadone in a wider range of medical settings

Though less stringently regulated than methadone, buprenorphine presents its own challenges. While a provider traditionally must have received training and certification by the DEA, provider capacity is limited due to federal regulations on certification as well as state regulations. For example, waived providers are limited to treating 275 patients¹⁷⁸. While 56% of counties in the United States have at least one waived provider¹⁷⁹, most providers have a list of patients far below allowable limits. A study by Moran and colleagues¹⁸⁰ found that less than 30% of waived providers were prescribing buprenorphine and less than 50% elected to be listed on SAMHSA's physician and treatment locator site. Furthermore, half of all waived providers were treating five or fewer patients and one third were



treating only a single patient. Even if all waived providers were treating at capacity, treatment coverage for everyone with OUD would still be inadequate; studies indicate that only about half of all in need of OUD treatment would receive it¹⁸¹. Calls have been made to eliminate this patient limit due to a lack of evidence supporting it¹⁸².

Finally, while there are no legal or regulatory barriers related to naltrexone, the most common barrier is cost at about \$1,200 per monthly dose¹⁸³.

Jails, in particular, face challenges to providing evidence-based medical care to those with OUD. Jails face uncertainty about the duration of a person's stay and whether they will be released to the community or sent to prison, both of which can complicate treatment initiation and planning. Some larger correctional facilities have become licensed OTPs or contract with waived providers to prescribe buprenorphine, but others rely on transporting individuals to off-site clinics or do not provide services beyond withdrawal management with supportive medications. The latter two approaches can increase pressure on staff who must arrange transportation or otherwise deal with people who are physically ill due to inadequate treatment of OUD¹⁸⁴.

Some solutions are in development, however. Importantly, in mid-2021 SAMHSA sent a letter to OTP directors, State Opioid Treatment Authorities, and state directors indicating a change to guidelines for the provision of MOUD. Now, SAMSHA-certified OTPs, assuming compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, may add a mobile component to their existing registration. This eliminates the requirement of a separate registration for mobile units. Presenting an opportunity for MOUD expansion, especially in remote or underserved areas, this allows OTPs, both mobile and not, to administer and dispense medications for OUD treatment, including take-home medications.

In April 2021, the Department of Health and Human Services announced a new practice guideline that removes barriers to obtaining waivers to prescribe buprenorphine. Eligible medical personnel, including physicians, physician assistants, nurse practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, certified registered nurse anesthetists, and certified nurse midwives, are now exempt from federal certification requirements related to training, counseling, and other ancillary services that are part of the process for obtaining a waiver. This exemption allows those without certification to treat up to 30 patients. If required by state law, these individuals must still be supervised or work in collaboration with a DEA-registered provider¹⁸⁵. This policy change will allow correctional providers who hold DEA licenses to prescribe buprenorphine for patients currently receiving it, to initiate it for maintenance, or to use it to withdraw patients from opioids¹⁸⁶.

Additionally, many states have enacted legislation or taken executive action to implement specific policies governing the provision of at least one form of MOUD treatment in some of their correctional facilities. In the 2020-21 legislative session alone, nine bills addressing MOUD access in correctional facilities were introduced. In recent years, several bills have established or expanded access to MOUD in jails, prisons, or all correctional facilities statewide. While some statutes allow any patient in need of MOUD during incarceration to start or continue treatment, others limit MOUD to pregnant individuals, those who were receiving MOUD prior to incarceration, or those with upcoming release dates. Similarly, while a few statutes require uniform access to all FDA-approved MOUD, many limit methadone



treatment to certain facilities or individuals, and some offer only naltrexone. Bills from the most recent legislative session have common features including expansion of participant eligibility, access to a broader range of MOUD, integration with other clinical and behavioral treatments, and a greater focus on community reentry and continuity of care¹⁸⁷.

While correctional facilities' provision of MOUD and behavioral treatment based on national standards can reduce deaths, improve long-term health outcomes, and interrupt the cycle of recidivism, NCHC¹⁸⁸ adds that it may even mitigate litigation. Federal courts have repeatedly found that inflexible policies that deny access to medically necessary treatment, including methadone and buprenorphine, to people with OUD during incarceration violate the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Eighth Amendment's prohibition of cruel and unusual punishment¹⁸⁹. Incarcerated individuals with OUD have turned to the courts in response to their lack of access to MOUD. In these lawsuits, many plaintiffs made claims of Eighth and 14th Amendment violations, as well as claims under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Some plaintiffs were awarded monetary compensations for the correctional facility's failure to provide MOUD and some secured settlement agreements or injunctive relief that required the correctional facility to continue the plaintiff's MOUD treatment for the duration of their incarceration. Some settlement agreements even required the correctional facility to adopt new policies applicable to all people incarcerated in a facility¹⁹⁰.

Federal courts have repeatedly found that inflexible policies that deny access to medically necessary treatment, including methadone and buprenorphine ... violate the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Eighth Amendment

At the local level, political will may restrict widespread implementation of MOUD and overdose programs for criminal justice populations. Therefore, guidance on how to gradually adopt OUD-related programs in challenging criminal justice environments should be developed¹⁹¹. Policymakers should provide resources and introduce policy changes to help jails and prisons offer medication and counseling for OUD and help people transition to community-based care as they leave incarceration.

Health Care Coverage and Costs

An additional barrier to MOUD rests with health care coverage and costs. The single most important source of insurance coverage for those with OUD is Medicaid¹⁹². In 2016, Medicaid paid more than \$9 billion for OUD treatment alone¹⁹³. Medicaid eligibility and enrollment has been associated with treatment retention¹⁹⁴ and relapse¹⁹⁵. States that opted for Medicaid expansion under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act have seen increased use of buprenorphine treatment¹⁹⁶. Medicaid expansion has created unprecedented opportunities for addressing the low rates of insurance coverage among those returning from jail and prison. In Medicaid-expansion states, nearly all justice-involved individuals are eligible for coverage upon release¹⁹⁷.

Medicaid, however, is prohibited by federal law from paying for health care during terms of incarceration during which coverage must be terminated or suspended¹⁹⁸. For those incarcerated with OUD, this can have devastating impacts during and following incarceration as reenrolling in coverage can cause lengthy delays that disrupt care¹⁹⁹. Commercial health insurance plans also commonly exclude



coverage and payment of medical care during incarceration²⁰⁰. As a result, the correctional health care system is underresourced, isolated from mainstream medicine, and not subject to standardized accreditation or quality reporting requirements²⁰¹. Nonetheless, given that buprenorphine and methadone have been shown to save lives, it is critical that people with OUD have access to these medications²⁰².

Health care costs in the criminal justice system are already high because of the disproportionately high burden of disease among those who are or have been incarcerated. To ensure access to OAT and other

Appropriate resources and funding need to be allocated to all criminal justice-related agencies and community-based providers who serve justice-involved people

support services, appropriate resources and funding need to be allocated to all criminal justice-related agencies and community-based treatment providers who serve justice-involved people.

Funding allocations, however, can be unpredictable and change annually. What is possible in a rural county with few resources is not always comparable to what can be implemented in some big, urban environments. It is imperative to consider community and regional contexts in the adoption of OAT²⁰³. While the 21st Century Cures Act of 2016 showcased Congress' commitment to

funding OAT for OUD, more resources need to be directed specifically to institutions and agencies within the criminal justice system. These entities interact with a higher proportion of those with OUD and can have a robust impact on treating OUD and preventing opioid overdose mortality²⁰⁴.

CONCLUSION

Jails present a critical and crucial opportunity to treat to a severely at-risk and high-need population. Jails are the gateway to further criminal justice involvement. Nearly all of those who enter our nation's jails will eventually be released and, without treatment, are likely to return. For those returning to jail, an often-cited reason is a relapse to drug use as they tend to return to the same environments – persons, places, and things – conducive to their use in the first place. Failing to provide MOUD to those with OUD, given the wealth of research in support of its use as treatment, is unacceptable. If policymakers and providers are truly interested in reducing recidivism, enhancing public safety, and promoting public health by way of reduced overdose, overdose deaths, and spread of infectious disease, more deliberate movements need to be made in expanding MOUD treatment to those in jail and those being released.

Failing to provide MOUD to those with OUD, given the wealth of research in support of its use as treatment, is unacceptable



ABOUT THE NCCHC FOUNDATION

The NCCHC Foundation's mission is to champion the correctional health care field and serve the public by supporting research, professional education, scholarships, and patient reentry into the community.

For over 40 years, the National Commission on Correctional Health Care has been at the forefront of leading dramatic improvements in patient care in corrections. Now, the NCCHC Foundation accelerates the Commission's work to meet the increasingly complex needs of today's incarcerated populations.

The NCCHC Foundation leverages donations and industry partnerships to lead research initiatives, share best practices, expand education for correctional health care professionals, and improve support for patients during reentry into the community.

Gifts enable the Foundation to:

- Support clinical research that identifies best practices and leads to better outcomes in correctional settings
- Gather, analyze, and disseminate data to support high quality correctional health care
- Encourage health care efficacy and efficiency through support for evidence-based medicine
- Mentor the next generation of correctional health professionals and support their continuing education needs
- Provide resources to incarcerated individuals on supporting their own health

AUTHOR CREDIT

This white paper was written by Laura Lutgen-Nieves, PhD, assistant professor, Department of Criminal Justice, University of Southern Indiana.

CONTACT US

1145 W. Diversey Parkway • Chicago, Illinois 60614

773-880-1460 • info@ncchcfoundation.org • ncchc.org/foundation



ENDNOTES

- ¹ N.D. Volkow et al., "Addressing the Opioid Crisis Globally," *World Psychiatry* 18, no. 2 (2019): 231–32.
- ² H. Hedegaard, A. Minino, & M. Warner, "Drug Overdose Deaths in the United States, 1999-2019" (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, December 2020), <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db394-H.pdf>.
- ³ L. Scholl et al., "Drug and Opioid-Involved Overdose Deaths – United States, 2013-2017," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, no. 67 (2019): 1419–27.
- ⁴ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results From the 2016 National Survey on Drug Use and Health," 2017, <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-FFR1-2016/NSDUH-FFR1-2016.htm>; SAMHSA, "Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results From the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health," 2019, <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/cbhsq-reports/NSDUHNationalFindingsReport2018/NSDUHNationalFindingsReport2018.pdf>.
- ⁵ SAMHSA, "Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results From the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health," 2019.
- ⁶ A. Vivolo-Kantor et al., "Vital Signs: Trends in Emergency Department Visits for Suspected Opioid Overdoses – United States, July 2016–September 2017," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 67 (2018): 279–85.
- ⁷ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Overdose Deaths Accelerating During COVID-19," 2020, <https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2020/p1218-overdose-deaths-covid-19.html>.
- ⁸ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Provisional Drug Overdose Death Counts," 2021, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm>.
- ⁹ L. Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose," *Addictive Behaviors* 86 (2018): 104–10.
- ¹⁰ Drug Enforcement Administration, "Fentanyl" (A DEA Resource Guide, 2017), https://www.dea.gov/sites/default/files/sites/getsmartaboutdrugs.com/files/publications/DoA_2017Ed_Updated_6.16.17.pdf#page=40.
- ¹¹ M. Gladden, P. Martinez, & P. Seth, "Fentanyl Law Enforcement Submissions and Increases in Synthetic Opioid-Involved Overdose Deaths – 27 States, 2013-2014," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 65, no. 33 (2016): 837–43.
- ¹² National Institute on Drug Abuse, "Overdose Death Rates," 2021, <https://www.drugabuse.gov/drug-topics/trends-statistics/overdose-death-rates>.
- ¹³ M. Prekupec, P. Mansky, & M. Baumann, "Misuse of Novel Synthetic Opioids: A Deadly New Trend," *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 11, no. 4 (2017): 256–65.
- ¹⁴ J. O'Donnell et al., "Deaths Involving Fentanyl, Fentanyl Analogs, and U-47700 – 10 States, July-December 2016," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 66, no. 43 (2017): 1197–1202.
- ¹⁵ I. Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009," *Annals of Internal Medicine* 159, no. 9 (2013): 592–600; E. Merrill et al., "Meta-Analysis of Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Release From Prison," *Addiction* 105, no. 9 (2010): 1545–54.
- ¹⁶ United National Office on Drugs and Crime, "World Drug Report 2018," 2018, https://www.unodc.org/wdr2018/prelaunch/WDR18_Booklet_1_EXSUM.pdf.
- ¹⁷ T. Winkelmann, V. Chang, & I. Binswanger, "Health, Polysubstance Use, and Criminal Justice Involvement Among Adults With Varying Levels of Opioid Use," *JAMA Open* 1, no. 3 (2018).



- ¹⁸ A. Boutwell et al., "Arrested on Heroin: A National Opportunity," *Journal of Opioid Management* 3, no. 6 (2007); J. Bronson et al., "Drug Use, Dependence, and Abuse Among State Prisoners and Jail Inmates, 2007-2009," *Bureau of Justice Statistics*, 2017; J. Rich et al., "Attitudes and Practices Regarding the Use of Methadone in US State and Federal Prisons," *Journal of Urban Health* 82, no. 3 (2005): 411–19.
- ¹⁹ Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009"; Merrall et al., "Meta-Analysis of Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Release From Prison," *Addiction*, 105, no. 9 (2010), 1545-1554.
- ²⁰ Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009."
- ²¹ I. Binswanger et al., "Release From Prison – A High Risk of Death for Former Inmates," *New England Journal of Medicine* 357 (2007): 157–65.
- ²² Massachusetts Department of Public Health, "An Assessment of Fatal and Nonfatal Opioid Overdoses in Massachusetts (2011-2015)," 2017, <https://www.mass.gov/doc/legislative-report-chapter-55-opioid-overdose-study-august-2017/download>.
- ²³ Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009"; C. Krinsky et al., "Drugs, Detention, and Death: A Study of the Mortality of Recently Released Prisoners.," *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 30 (2009): 6–9.
- ²⁴ B. Alex et al., "Death After Jail Release: Matching to Improve Care Delivery," *Journal of Correctional Health Care* 23, no. 1 (2017); Binswanger et al., "Release From Prison – A High Risk of Death for Former Inmates."
- ²⁵ S. Belenko, M. Hiller, & L. Hamilton, "Treating Substance Use Disorders in the Criminal Justice System," *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 15, no. 11 (2013): 414.
- ²⁶ Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose."
- ²⁷ J. Csete, "Criminal Justice Barriers to Treatment of Opioid Use Disorders in the United States: The Need for Public Health Advocacy," *American Journal of Public Health* 109 (2019): 419–22.
- ²⁸ Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009"; Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose"; M. Connock et al., "Methadone and Buprenorphine for the Management of Opioid Dependence: A Systematic Review and Economic Evaluation," *Health Technol Assess* 11, no. 9 (2007): 1–171; M. Milloy et al., "Elevated HIV Risk Behaviour Among Recently Incarcerated Injection Drug Users in a Canadian Setting: A Longitudinal Analysis," *BMC Public Health* 9, no. 156 (2009); S. Wakeman, "Why It's Inappropriate Not to Treat Incarcerated Patients With Opioid Agonist Therapy," *AMA J Ethics* 19, no. 9 (2017): 922–30.
- ²⁹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Overdose Deaths Accelerating During COVID-19"; B. Saloner & S. Karthikeyan, "Changes in Substance Abuse Treatment Use Among Individuals With Opioid Use Disorders in the United States, 2004-2013," *JAMA* 314, no. 14 (2015): 1515–17.
- ³⁰ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Overdose Deaths Accelerating During COVID-19."
- ³¹ R. Rouben, "HHS Chief Pitches New Measures to Expand Opioid Addiction Treatment," *The Hill*, February 24, 2018, <https://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/375455-hhs-chief-pitches-new-measures-to-expand-opioid-addiction-treatment>.
- ³² L. Sordo et al., "Mortality Risk During and After Opioid Substitution Treatment: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies," *BMJ* 357 (2017).
- ³³ M. Larochelle et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study," *Ann Intern Med* 169, no. 3 (2018): 137–45; P. Lawrinson et al., "Key Findings From the WHO Collaborative Study on Substitution Therapy for Opioid Dependence and HIV/AIDS," *Addiction* 103, no. 9 (2008): 1484–92; M. Malta et al., "Opioid-Related Treatment, Interventions, and Outcomes Among Incarcerated Persons: A Systematic Review," *PLOS Medicine* 16, no. 12 (2019).



-
- ³⁴ Connock et al., “Methadone and Buprenorphine for the Management of Opioid Dependence: A Systematic Review and Economic Evaluation”; Pew Charitable Trusts, “Providers Underuse OUD Treatments That Significantly Reduce Overdose Rates,” 2020, <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/articles/2020/03/23/providers-underuse-oud-treatments-that-significantly-reduce-overdose-rates>.
- ³⁵ T. Babor et al., *Drug Policy and the Public Good*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, 2018).
- ³⁶ C. Florence et al., “The Economic Burden of Prescription Opioid Overdose, Abuse, and Dependence in the United States, 2013,” *Medical Care* 54, no. 10 (2016): 901–6.
- ³⁷ Pew Charitable Trusts, “Providers Underuse OUD Treatments That Significantly Reduce Overdose Rates.”
- ³⁸ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives” (The National Academies Press, 2019).
- ³⁹ L. Amato et al., “An Overview of Systematic Reviews of the Effectiveness of Opiate Maintenance Therapies: Available Evidence to Inform Clinical Practice and Research,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 28, no. 4 (2005): 321–29; S. Bird et al., “Impact of Opioid Substitution Therapy for Scotland’s Prisoners on Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Prisoner Release,” *Addiction* 110 (2015): 1617–24; N. Egli et al., “Effects of Drug Substitution Programs on Offending Among Drug Addicts,” *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 2009, <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2009.3>.
- ⁴⁰ American Society of Addiction Medicine, “The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder,” 2020.
- ⁴¹ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives.”
- ⁴² H. Connery, “Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: Review of the Evidence and Future Directions,” *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 23, no. 2 (2015): 63–75; H. Kleber, “Pharmacologic Treatments for Opioid Dependence: Detoxification and Maintenance Options,” *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 9, no. 4 (2007): 455–70.
- ⁴³ H. Connery, “Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: Review of the Evidence and Future Directions”; M. Kreek, “Methadone-Related Opioid Agonist Pharmacotherapy for Heroin Addiction. History, Recent Molecular and Neurochemical Research and Future in Mainstream Medicine,” *Ann NY Acad Sci* 909 (2000): 186–216.
- ⁴⁴ Connock et al., “Methadone and Buprenorphine for the Management of Opioid Dependence: A Systematic Review and Economic Evaluation.”
- ⁴⁵ American Society of Addiction Medicine, “The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder”; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives.”
- ⁴⁶ American Society of Addiction Medicine, “The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder.”
- ⁴⁷ Federal Bureau of Prisons, “Opioid Use Disorder: Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment” (2021), https://www.bop.gov/resources/pdfs/opioid_use_disorder_cg.pdf.
- ⁴⁸ National Institutes of Health, “Effective Medical Treatment of Opiate Addiction. National Consensus Development Panel on Effective Medical Treatment of Opiate Addiction.,” *Journal of the American Medical Association* 280 (1998): 1936–43.
- ⁴⁹ Federal Bureau of Prisons, “Opioid Use Disorder: Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment.”
- ⁵⁰ American Society of Addiction Medicine, “The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder”; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives.”
- ⁵¹ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, “Methadone” (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2019), <https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/medications-counseling-related-conditions/methadone>.



-
- ⁵² National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ⁵³ American Correctional Association & National Governors Association, "Expanding Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in Corrections and Community Settings: A Roadmap for States to Reduce Opioid Use Disorder for People in the Justice System," 2021, https://www.nga.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/NGA-Roadmap-on-MOUD-for-People-in-the-Justice-System_layout_final.pdf.
- ⁵⁴ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ⁵⁵ Department of Defense, "TRICARE; Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Treatment," *Federal Register*, 2016, 61067–98.
- ⁵⁶ Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, "Mean Expenses per Person With Care for Selected Conditions by Type of Service: United States, 2014" (Medical Expenditure Panel Survey Household Component Data, 2016).
- ⁵⁷ H. Connery, "Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: Review of the Evidence and Future Directions."
- ⁵⁸ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives"; American Correctional Association and National Governors Association, "Expanding Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in Corrections and Community Settings: A Roadmap for States to Reduce Opioid Use Disorder for People in the Justice System."
- ⁵⁹ A. Dahan et al., "Buprenorphine Induces Ceiling in Respiratory Depression but Not in Analgesia," *Br J Anaesth* 96, no. 5 (2006): 627–32.
- ⁶⁰ American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder."
- ⁶¹ H. Connery, "Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: Review of the Evidence and Future Directions."
- ⁶² National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ⁶³ L. Sordo et al., "Mortality Risk During and After Opioid Substitution Treatment: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies."
- ⁶⁴ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ⁶⁵ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Buprenorphine" (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2021), <https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/medications-counseling-related-conditions/buprenorphine>.
- ⁶⁶ C. Jones et al., "National and State Treatment Need and Capacity for Opioid Agonist Medication-Assisted Treatment," *American Journal of Public Health* 105, no. 8 (2015): e55-63.
- ⁶⁷ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Buprenorphine."
- ⁶⁸ Department of Defense, "TRICARE; Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Treatment."
- ⁶⁹ H. Kleber, "Pharmacologic Treatments for Opioid Dependence: Detoxification and Maintenance Options."
- ⁷⁰ American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder."
- ⁷¹ American Society of Addiction Medicine.
- ⁷² E. Krupitsky et al., "Injectable Extended-Release Naltrexone for Opioid Dependence: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Randomised Trial," *The Lancet* 377 (2011): 1506–13; J. Lee et al., "Comparative Effectiveness of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Relapse Prevention (X:BOT): A Multicentre, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial," *The Lancet* 391, no. 10118 (2018): 309–18; L. Tanum et al., "Effectiveness of Injectable Extended-Release Naltrexone vs Daily Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Dependence: A Randomized Clinical Noninferiority Trial," *JAMA Psychiatry* 74, no. 12 (2017): 1197–1205.



⁷³ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, "Naltrexone." (US, 2021), <https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/medications-counseling-related-conditions/naltrexone>.

⁷⁴ S. Minozzi et al., "Oral Naltrexone Maintenance Treatment for Opioid Dependence," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, no. 4 (2011).

⁷⁵ L. Degenhardt et al., "Excess Mortality Among Opioid-Using Patients Treated With Oral Naltrexone in Australia," *Drug and Alcohol Review* 34, no. 1 (2015): 90–96.

⁷⁶ M. Larochelle et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study"; Lee et al., "Comparative Effectiveness of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Relapse Prevention (X:BOT): A Multicentre, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial."

⁷⁷ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."

⁷⁸ H. Connery, "Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: Review of the Evidence and Future Directions."

⁷⁹ M. Larochelle et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study."

⁸⁰ A. Butkin et al., "Engagement With Opioid Maintenance Treatment and Reductions in Crime: A Longitudinal National Cohort Study," *Addiction* 107, no. 2 (2012): 393–99; F. Gearing & M. Schweitzer, "An Epidemiologic Evaluation of Long-Term Methadone Maintenance Treatment for Heroin Addiction," *Am J Epidemiol* 100, no. 2 (1974): 101–12; R. Schwartz et al., "Interim Methadone Treatment Compared to Standard Methadone Treatment: 4-Month Findings," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 41 (2011): 21–29; R. Schwartz et al., "Scaling-up Interim Methadone Maintenance: Treatment for 1,000 Heroin-Addicted Individuals," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 37 (2009): 362–7; H. Sun et al., "Methadone Maintenance Treatment Programme Reduces Criminal Activity and Improves Social Well-Being of Drug Users in China: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *BMJ Open* 5, no. 1 (2015): e005997.

⁸¹ P. Fudula et al., "Office-Based Treatment of Opiate Addiction With a Sublingual-Tablet Formulation of Buprenorphine and Naloxone," *N Engl J Med* 349, no. 10 (2003): 949–58; R. Mattick et al., "Buprenorphine Maintenance Versus Placebo or Methadone Maintenance for Opioid Dependence," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 2 (2014): CD002207.

⁸² L. Degenhardt et al., "Excess Mortality Among Opioid-Using Patients Treated With Oral Naltrexone in Australia"; M. M. Larochelle et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study"; M. Pierce et al., "Impact of Treatment for Opioid Dependence on Fatal Drug-Related Poisoning: A National Cohort Study in England," *Addiction* 111, no. 2 (2016): 298–308; L. Sordo et al., "Mortality Risk During and After Opioid Substitution Treatment: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies."

⁸³ J. Kakko et al., "1-Year Retention and Social Function After Buprenorphine-Assisted Relapse Prevention Treatment for Heroin Dependence in Sweden: A Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Trial," *Lancet* 361, no. 9358 (2003): 662–68; R. Mattick et al., "Methadone Maintenance Therapy Versus No Opioid Replacement Therapy for Opioid Dependence," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, no. 3 (2009): CD002209; Mattick et al., "Buprenorphine Maintenance Versus Placebo or Methadone Maintenance for Opioid Dependence"; C. Thomas et al., "Medication-Assisted Treatment With Buprenorphine: Assessing the Evidence," *Psychiatry Services* 65, no. 2 (2014): 158–70.

⁸⁴ 12/27/2021 8:48:00 AMb

⁸⁵ G. Woody et al., "HIV Risk Reduction With Buprenorphine-Naloxone or Methadone: Findings From A Randomized Trial," *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 66, no. 3 (2014): 288–93.

⁸⁶ L. Gowing et al., "Oral Substitution Treatment of Injecting Opioid Users for Prevention of HIV Infection," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 8 (2011): CD004145.

⁸⁷ G. MacArthur et al., "Opiate Substitution Treatment and HIV Transmission in People Who Inject Drugs: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *BMJ* 245 (2012): e5945.



- ⁸⁸ E. Peles et al., "Earning 'Take-Home' Privileges and Long-Term Outcome in a Methadone Maintenance Treatment Program," *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 5, no. 2 (2011): 92–98.
- ⁸⁹ A. Ponzovsky & A. Grinshpoon, "Quality of Life Among Heroin Users on Buprenorphine Versus Methadone Maintenance," *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse* 33 (2007): 631–42.
- ⁹⁰ P. Friedmann et al., "Do Patient Characteristics Moderate the Effect of Extended-Release Naltrexone (XR-NTX) for Opioid Use Disorder?," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 85 (2018): 61–65; M. Gordon et al., "A Phase 4, Pilot, Open-Label Study of Vivitrol® (Extended-Release Naltrexone XR-NTX) for Prisoners," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 59 (2015): 52–58; B. Jarvis et al., "Extended-Release Injectable Naltrexone for Opioid Use Disorder: A Systematic Review," *Addiction* 113, no. 7 (2018): 1188–1209; M. Laroche et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study"; Lee et al., "Comparative Effectiveness of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Relapse Prevention (X:BOT): A Multicentre, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial"; T. Lincoln et al., "Extended-Release Naltrexone for Opioid Use Disorder Started During or Following Incarceration," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 85 (2018): 97–100.
- ⁹¹ M. Laroche et al., "Medication for Opioid Use Disorder After Nonfatal Opioid Overdose and Association With Mortality: A Cohort Study."
- ⁹² Gordon et al., "A Phase 4, Pilot, Open-Label Study of Vivitrol® (Extended-release Naltrexone XR-NTX) for Prisoners."
- ⁹³ Lincoln et al., "Extended-Release Naltrexone for Opioid Use Disorder Started During or Following Incarceration."
- ⁹⁴ Lee et al., "Comparative Effectiveness of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Relapse Prevention (X:BOT): A Multicentre, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial."
- ⁹⁵ W. Soares et al., "Healthcare Utilization in Adults With Opioid Dependence Receiving Extended Release Naltrexone Compared to Treatment as Usual," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 85 (2018): 66–69.
- ⁹⁶ Lee et al., "Comparative Effectiveness of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Buprenorphine-Naloxone for Opioid Relapse Prevention (X:BOT): A Multicentre, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial."
- ⁹⁷ American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder"; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ⁹⁸ Bronson et al., "Drug Use, Dependence, and Abuse Among State Prisoners and Jail Inmates, 2007-2009."
- ⁹⁹ S. Darke, S. Larney, & M. Farrell, "Yes, People Can Die From Opiate Withdrawal," *Addiction* 112, no. 2 (2016): 199–200.
- ¹⁰⁰ R. Chandler, B. Fletcher, & N.D. Volkow, "Treating Drug Abuse and Addiction in the Criminal Justice System: Improving Public Health and Safety," *JAMA* 301, no. 2 (2009): 183–90; World Health Organization, "Clinical Guidelines for Withdrawal Management and Treatment of Drug Dependence in Closed Settings," 2009, <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/207032>.
- ¹⁰¹ Merrall et al., "Meta-Analysis of Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Release From Prison," *Addiction*, 105, no. 9 (2010), 1545-1554.
- ¹⁰² Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009."
- ¹⁰³ Malta et al., "Opioid-Related Treatment, Interventions, and Outcomes Among Incarcerated Persons: A Systematic Review."
- ¹⁰⁴ Binswanger et al., "Mortality After Prison Release: Opioid Overdose and Other Causes of Death, Risk Factors, and Time Trends From 1999 to 2009."



-
- ¹⁰⁵ Binswanger et al.; S. Kinner et al., "Incidence and Risk Factors for Non-Fatal Overdose Among a Cohort of Recently Incarcerated Illicit Drug Users," *Addictive Behaviors* 37, no. 6 (2012): 691–96; Merrall et al., "Meta-Analysis of Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Release From Prison," *Addiction*, 105, no. 9 (2010), 1545-1554.
- ¹⁰⁶ American Correctional Association & National Governors Association, "Expanding Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in Corrections and Community Settings: A Roadmap for States to Reduce Opioid Use Disorder for People in the Justice System."
- ¹⁰⁷ American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder," 2010.
- ¹⁰⁸ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ¹⁰⁹ The NCCHC position statement argues for the establishment of appropriate policies and procedures that ensure all people with OUD are offered choices regarding FDA-approved MOUD that are available in the community.
- ¹¹⁰ World Health Organization, "Clinical Guidelines for Withdrawal Management and Treatment of Drug Dependence in Closed Settings."
- ¹¹¹ A. Fox et al., "Release From Incarceration, Relapse to Opioid Use and the Potential for Buprenorphine Maintenance Treatment: A Qualitative Study of the Perceptions of Former Inmates With Opioid Use Disorder," *Addiction* 10, no. 2 (2015); T. Green et al., "Postincarceration Fatal Overdoses After Implementing Medications for Addiction Treatment in a Statewide Correctional System," *JAMA Psychiatry* 75 (2018): 405–7; S. Magura et al., "Buprenorphine and Methadone Maintenance in Jail and Post-Release: A Randomized Clinical Trial," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 99, no. 1–3 (2009): 222–30; J. Marsden et al., "Does Exposure to Opioid Substitution Treatment in Prison Reduce the Risk of Death After Release? A National Prospective Observational Study in England," *Addiction* 112, no. 8 (2017): 1408–18.
- ¹¹² C. Vestal, "New Momentum for Addiction Treatment Behind Bars," *Stateline* (blog), 2018, <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2018/04/04/new-momentum-for-addiction-treatment-behind-bars>.
- ¹¹³ A. Sharma et al., "Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Dependence in Jails and Prisons: Research Review Update and Future Directions," *Substance Abuse and Rehabilitation* 7 (2016): 27–40.
- ¹¹⁴ S. Weizman et al., "Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in US Jails and Prisons: Litigations, Legislation, and Policies" (O'Neill Institute, 2021).
- ¹¹⁵ Weizman et al., "Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in US Jails and Prisons: Litigations, Legislation, and Policies" (O'Neill Institute, 2021).
- ¹¹⁶ National Commission on Correctional Health Care, "Position Statement: Opioid Use Disorder Treatment in Correctional Settings" (2021), <https://www.ncchc.org/opioid-use-disorder-treatment-in-correctional-settings>.
- ¹¹⁷ M. Gordon et al., "A Randomized Clinical Trial of Methadone Maintenance for Prisoners: Findings at 6-Months Post-Release," *Addiction* 103 (2008): 1333–42; M. Gordon et al., "A Randomized Controlled Trial of Prison-Initiated Buprenorphine: Prison Outcomes and Community Treatment Entry," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 142 (2014): 33–40; M. Gordon et al., "A Randomized Clinical Trial of Buprenorphine for Prisoners: Findings at 12-Months Post-Release," *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 172 (2017): 34–42; T. Kinlock et al., "A Randomized Clinical Trial of Methadone Maintenance for Prisoners: Results at 12 Months Postrelease," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 37, no. 3 (2009): 277–85; N. Zaller et al., "Initiation of Buprenorphine During Incarceration and Retention in Treatment Upon Release," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 45, no. 2 (2013): 222–26; T. Kinlock et al., "A Study of Methadone Maintenance for Male Prisoners: 3-Month Postrelease Outcomes," *Criminal Justice and Behavior* 35 (2008): 34–47.
- ¹¹⁸ Kinlock et al., "A Study of Methadone Maintenance for Male Prisoners: 3-Month Postrelease Outcomes"; Kinlock et al., "A Randomized Clinical Trial of Methadone Maintenance for Prisoners: Results at 12 Months Postrelease"; Mattick et al., "Methadone Maintenance Therapy Versus No Opioid Replacement Therapy for Opioid Dependence."

¹¹⁹ D. Deck et al., "Medicaid Coverage, Methadone Maintenance, and Felony Arrests: Outcomes of Opiate Treatment in Two States.," *Journal of Addictive Diseases* 28, no. 2 (2009): 89–102; S. Farrell-MacDonald et al., "Impact of Methadone Maintenance Treatment on Women Offenders' Post-Release Recidivism," *Eur Addict Res* 20, no. 4 (2014): 192–99; Green et al., "Postincarceration Fatal Overdoses After Implementing Medications for Addiction Treatment in a Statewide Correctional System"; Kinlock et al., "A Study of Methadone Maintenance for Male Prisoners: 3-Month Postrelease Outcomes."

¹²⁰ Zaller et al., "Initiation of Buprenorphine During Incarceration and Retention in Treatment Upon Release."

¹²¹ MacArthur et al., "Opiate Substitution Treatment and HIV Transmission in People Who Inject Drugs: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis."

¹²² S. Larney et al., "Opioid Substitution Therapy as a Strategy to Reduce Deaths in Prison: Retrospective Cohort Study," *BMJ Open* 4, no. 4 (2014): e004666; J. Rich et al., "Methadone Continuation Versus Forced Withdrawal on Incarceration in a Combined US Prison and Jail: A Randomised, Open-Label Trial," *Lancet* 386, no. 9991 (2015): 350–59.

¹²³ Green et al., "Postincarceration Fatal Overdoses After Implementing Medications for Addiction Treatment in a Statewide Correctional System"; K. Moore et al., "Feasibility and Effectiveness of Continuing Methadone Maintenance Treatment During Incarceration Compared With Forced Withdrawal," *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 12, no. 2 (2018): 156–62; Rich et al., "Methadone Continuation Versus Forced Withdrawal on Incarceration in a Combined US Prison and Jail: A Randomised, Open-Label Trial."

¹²⁴ World Health Organization, "Clinical Guidelines for Withdrawal Management and Treatment of Drug Dependence in Closed Settings."

¹²⁵ National Commission on Correctional Health Care, "Position Statement: Opioid Use Disorder Treatment in Correctional Settings."

¹²⁶ Bird et al., "Impact of Opioid Substitution Therapy for Scotland's Prisoners on Drug-Related Deaths Soon After Prisoner Release.,"; N. Gisev et al., "Determining the Impact of Opioid Substitution Therapy Upon Mortality and Recidivism Among Prisoners: A 22 Year Data Linkage Study," *Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice* 498 (2015), <https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi498>.

¹²⁷ The Rhode Island Department of Corrections operates a unified public safety system in which jails and prisons are operated at the state-level.

¹²⁸ Overwhelmingly, most patients and medical providers selected either methadone or buprenorphine, rather than naltrexone.

¹²⁹ Zaller et al., "Initiation of Buprenorphine During Incarceration and Retention in Treatment upon Release."

¹³⁰ A. Macmadu et al., "Optimizing the Impact of Medications for Opioid Use Disorder at Release From Prison and Jail Settings: A Microsimulation Modeling Study," *Int J Drug Policy* 91 (2021): 102841.

¹³¹ Moore et al., "Feasibility and Effectiveness of Continuing Methadone Maintenance Treatment During Incarceration Compared With Forced Withdrawal."

¹³² Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose"; N. Krawczyk et al., "Opioid Agonist Treatment and Fatal Overdose Risk in a State-Wide US Population Receiving Opioid Use Disorder Services," *Addiction* 115, no. 9 (2020): 1683–94; Moore et al., "Feasibility and Effectiveness of Continuing Methadone Maintenance Treatment During Incarceration Compared With Forced Withdrawal"; Rich et al., "Methadone Continuation Versus Forced Withdrawal on Incarceration in a Combined US Prison and Jail: A Randomised, Open-Label Trial."

¹³³ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."

¹³⁴ Rich et al., "Methadone Continuation Versus Forced Withdrawal on Incarceration in a Combined US Prison and Jail: A Randomised, Open-Label Trial."

¹³⁵ Kinlock et al., "A Randomized Clinical Trial of Methadone Maintenance for Prisoners: Results at 12 Months Postrelease."

- ¹³⁶ E. Evans et al., “Effects of Access Barriers and Medication Acceptability on Buprenorphine-Naloxone Treatment Utilization over 2 Years: Results From a Multisite Randomized Trial of Adults With Opioid Use Disorder,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 106 (2019): 19–28; Farrell-MacDonald et al., “Impact of Methadone Maintenance Treatment on Women Offenders’ Post-Release Recidivism”; Malta et al., “Opioid-Related Treatment, Interventions, and Outcomes Among Incarcerated Persons: A Systematic Review”; V. Westerberg et al., “Community-Based Methadone Maintenance in a Large Detention Center Is Associated With Decreases in Inmate Recidivism,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 70 (2016): 1–6.
- ¹³⁷ Westerberg et al., “Community-Based Methadone Maintenance in a Large Detention Center Is Associated With Decreases in Inmate Recidivism,” *J Subst Abuse Treat* 70 (2016): 1–6.
- ¹³⁸ B. Horn et al., “Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of a Large Jail-Based Methadone Maintenance Treatment Continuation Program in New Mexico,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 115 (2020).
- ¹³⁹ Gordon et al., “A Randomized Clinical Trial of Methadone Maintenance for Prisoners: Findings at 6-Months Post-Release”; A. Haas et al., “Post-Incarceration Outcomes for Individuals Who Continued Methadone Treatment While in Connecticut Jails, 2014–2018,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 221 (2021); Kinlock et al., “A Study of Methadone Maintenance for Male Prisoners: 3-Month Postrelease Outcomes.”
- ¹⁴⁰ C. Scott et al., “Improving Retention across the OUD Service Cascade upon Reentry From Jail Using Recovery Management Checkups-Adaptive (RMC-A) Experiment,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 128 (2021).
- ¹⁴¹ M. Gordon et al., “A Clinical Protocol of a Comparative Effectiveness Trial of Extended-Release Naltrexone Versus Extended-Release Buprenorphine With Individuals Leaving Jail☆,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 128 (2021).
- ¹⁴² M. Staton, M. Dickson, and E. Winston, “Criminal Justice Kentucky Treatment Outcome Study” (Kentucky Department Of Corrections, 2018).
- ¹⁴³ American Correctional Association & National Governors Association, “Expanding Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in Corrections and Community Settings: A Roadmap for States to Reduce Opioid Use Disorder for People in the Justice System.”
- ¹⁴⁴ Staton, Dickson, & Winston, “Criminal Justice Kentucky Treatment Outcome Study.”
- ¹⁴⁵ Florence et al., “The Economic Burden of Prescription Opioid Overdose, Abuse, and Dependence in the United States, 2013.”
- ¹⁴⁶ R. Pollini et al., “High Prevalence of Abscesses and Self-Treatment Among Injection Drug Users in Tijuana, Mexico,” *Int J Infect Dis* 14 Suppl 3 (2010): e117-22; K. Phillips & M. Stein, “Risk Practices Associated With Bacterial Infections Among Injection Drug Users in Denver, Colorado,” *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 36, no. 2 (2010): 92–97; California Health Care Foundation, “Why Health Plans Should Go to the ‘MAT’ in the Fight Against Opioid Addiction,” 2017; J. Tkacz et al., “Relationship Between Buprenorphine Adherence and Health Service Utilization and Costs Among Opioid Dependent Patients,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 46, no. 4 (2014): 456–62; S. Livingston, ““Insurers Slowly Removing Barriers to Addiction Treatment” (Modern Health Care, 2017), <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/special/opioid-addiction/>.
- ¹⁴⁷ Pollini et al., “High Prevalence of Abscesses and Self-Treatment Among Injection Drug Users in Tijuana, Mexico”; Phillips & Stein, “Risk Practices Associated With Bacterial Infections Among Injection Drug Users in Denver, Colorado.”
- ¹⁴⁸ Livingston, ““Insurers Slowly Removing Barriers to Addiction Treatment.”
- ¹⁴⁹ F. Lynch et al., “Costs of Care for Persons With Opioid Dependence in Commercial Integrated Health Systems,” *Addiction Science and Clinical Practice* 9 (2014); Tkacz et al., “Relationship Between Buprenorphine Adherence and Health Service Utilization and Costs Among Opioid Dependent Patients.”
- ¹⁵⁰ Lynch et al., “Costs of Care for Persons With Opioid Dependence in Commercial Integrated Health Systems”; Tkacz et al., “Relationship Between Buprenorphine Adherence and Health Service Utilization and Costs Among Opioid Dependent Patients.”

- ¹⁵¹ Horn et al., “Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of a Large Jail-Based Methadone Maintenance Treatment Continuation Program in New Mexico.”
- ¹⁵² C. Barry et al., “Stigma, Discrimination, Treatment Effectiveness, and Policy: Public Views about Drug Addiction and Mental Illness,” *Psychiatry Services* 65, no. 10 (2014): 1269–72.
- ¹⁵³ A. Kennedy-Hendricks et al., “Social Stigma Toward Persons With Prescription Opioid Use Disorder: Associations With Public Support for Punitive and Public Health-Oriented Policies.,” *Psychiatry Services* 68, no. 5 (2017): 462–69.
- ¹⁵⁴ M. Brondani, R. Alan, & L. Donnelly, “Stigma of Addiction and Mental Illness in Healthcare: The Case of Patients’ Experiences in Dental Settings,” *PLoS One* 12, no. 5 (2017): e0177388; J. DeFlavio et al., “Analysis of Barriers to Adoption of Buprenorphine Maintenance Therapy by Family Physicians,” *Rural and Remote Health* 15 (2015): 3019; J. Livingston et al., “Primary Care Physicians’ Views about Prescribing Methadone to Treat Opioid Use Disorder,” *Substance Use and Misuse* 53, no. 2 (2018): 315–26; L. van Boekel et al., “Stigma Among Health Professionals Towards Patients With Substance Use Disorders and Its Consequences for Healthcare Delivery: Systematic Review,” *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 131 (2013): 23–35.
- ¹⁵⁵ Rich et al., “Attitudes and Practices Regarding the Use of Methadone in US State and Federal Prisons.”
- ¹⁵⁶ A. Kennedy-Hendricks et al., “Primary Care Physicians’ Perspectives on the Prescription Opioid Epidemic,” *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 165 (2016): 61–70.
- ¹⁵⁷ R. Blendon & J. Benson, “The Public and the Opioid-Abuse Epidemic,” *N Engl J Med* 378 (2018): 407–11.
- ¹⁵⁸ H. Matusow et al., “Medication Assisted Treatment in US Drug Courts: Results From a Nationwide Survey of Availability, Barriers and Attitudes,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 44, no. 5 (2013): 473–80.
- ¹⁵⁹ N.D. Volkow et al., “Medication-Assisted Therapies — Tackling the Opioid-Overdose Epidemic,” *New England Journal of Medicine* 370 (2014): 2063–66.
- ¹⁶⁰ Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder: For Healthcare and Addiction Professionals, Policymakers, Patients, and Families” (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2021), https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/SAMHSA_Digital_Download/PEP21-02-01-002.pdf.
- ¹⁶¹ Kennedy-Hendricks et al., “Primary Care Physicians’ Perspectives on the Prescription Opioid Epidemic.”
- ¹⁶² H. Miller, J. Miller, & J. Barnes, “Reentry Programming for Opioid and Opiate Involved Female Offenders: Findings From a Mixed Methods Evaluation,” *Journal of Criminal Justice* 46 (2016): 129–36.
- ¹⁶³ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, “Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives.”
- ¹⁶⁴ L. Lin et al., “Perceptions and Practices Addressing Diversion Among US Buprenorphine Prescribers,” *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 186 (2018): 147–53; J. Netherland et al., “Factors Affecting Willingness to Provide Buprenorphine Treatment,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 36, no. 3 (2009): 244–51.
- ¹⁶⁵ Lin et al., “Perceptions and Practices Addressing Diversion Among US Buprenorphine Prescribers.”
- ¹⁶⁶ A. Huhn & K. Dunn, “Why Aren’t Physicians Prescribing More Buprenorphine?,” *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 78 (2017): 1–7.
- ¹⁶⁷ C. Jones et al., “Trends in Methadone Distribution for Pain Treatment, Methadone Diversion, and Overdose Deaths — United States, 2002–2014,” *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 65, no. 26 (2016): 667–71.
- ¹⁶⁸ T. Cicero, H. Surratt, & J. Inciardi, “Relationship between Therapeutic Use and Abuse of Opioid Analgesics in Rural, Suburban and Urban Locations in the United States,” *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 16, no. 8 (2007): 827–40; M. Lofwall & S. Walsh, “A Review of Buprenorphine Diversion and Misuse: The Current Evidence Base and Experiences From around the World,” *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 8, no. 5 (2014): 315–26.
- ¹⁶⁹ Fox et al., “Release From Incarceration, Relapse to Opioid Use and the Potential for Buprenorphine Maintenance Treatment: A Qualitative Study of the Perceptions of Former Inmates With Opioid Use Disorder”; Lofwall & Walsh, “A Review of Buprenorphine Diversion and Misuse: The Current Evidence Base and Experiences From around the World.”

-
- ¹⁷⁰ E. Lavonas et al., "Abuse and Diversion of Buprenorphine Sublingual Tablets and Film," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 47, no. 1 (2014): 27–34.
- ¹⁷¹ Larney et al., "Opioid Substitution Therapy as a Strategy to Reduce Deaths in Prison: Retrospective Cohort Study."
- ¹⁷² Medical provider, in this paper, refers to physicians, physician assistants, and nurse practitioners.
- ¹⁷³ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ¹⁷⁴ Saloner & Karthikeyan, "Changes in Substance Abuse Treatment Use Among Individuals With Opioid Use Disorders in the United States, 2004-2013."
- ¹⁷⁵ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ¹⁷⁶ J. Harris & K. McElrath, "Methadone as Social Control: Institutionalized Stigma and the Prospect of Recovery," *Qual Health Res* 22, no. 6 (2012): 810–24.
- ¹⁷⁷ J. Samet, M. Botticelli, & M. Bharel, "Methadone in Primary Care — One Small Step for Congress, One Giant Leap for Addiction Treatment," *New England Journal of Medicine* 379 (2018): 7–8.
- ¹⁷⁸ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."
- ¹⁷⁹ C. Andrilla et al., "Geographic Variation in the Supply of Selected Behavioral Health Providers," *Am J Prev Med* 54, no. 6 Suppl 3 (2018): S199–207.
- ¹⁸⁰ G. Moran et al., "Implementing Medication-Assisted Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder in Rural Primary Care: Environmental Scan" (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2017).
- ¹⁸¹ Huhn & Dunn, "Why Aren't Physicians Prescribing More Buprenorphine?"; Jones et al., "National and State Treatment Need and Capacity for Opioid Agonist Medication-Assisted Treatment"; S. Murphy et al., "Determinants of Buprenorphine Treatment for Opioid Dependence," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 46, no. 3 (2014): 315–19; R. Rosenblatt et al., "Geographic and Specialty Distribution of Us Physicians Trained to Treat Opioid Use Disorder.," *Annals of Family Medicine* 13, no. 1 (2015): 23–26.
- ¹⁸² K. Fiscella, S. Wakeman, & L. Beletsky, "Buprenorphine Deregulation and Mainstreaming Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder.," *JAMA Psychiatry* 76, no. 3 (2019): 229–30.
- ¹⁸³ K. Alanis-Hirsch et al., "Extended-Release Naltrexone: A Qualitative Analysis of Barriers to Routine Use," *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 62 (2016): 68–73.
- ¹⁸⁴ American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder."
- ¹⁸⁵ US Department of Health and Human Services, "Practice Guidelines for the Administration of Buprenorphine for Treating Opioid Use Disorder," *Federal Register* 86 FR 22439 (2021): 22439–40.
- ¹⁸⁶ National Commission on Correctional Health Care, "Position Statement: Opioid Use Disorder Treatment in Correctional Settings."
- ¹⁸⁷ Weizman et al., "Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in US Jails and Prisons: Litigations, Legislation, and Policies."
- ¹⁸⁸ National Commission on Correctional Health Care, "Position Statement: Opioid Use Disorder Treatment in Correctional Settings."
- ¹⁸⁹ *Pesce v. Coppinger*, 2018; *Smith v. Aroostook County*, 2019
- ¹⁹⁰ Weizman et al., "Access to Medications for Opioid Use Disorder in US Jails and Prisons: Litigations, Legislation, and Policies."
- ¹⁹¹ Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose."
- ¹⁹² National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."



¹⁹³ K. Niederee & J. Lawless, "Hatch, Wyden Seek Feedback to Improve Medicare, Medicaid Responses to Opioid Epidemic." (US Senate Committee on Finance, 2018), <https://www.finance.senate.gov/chairmans-news/hatch-wyden-seek-feedback-to-improve-medicare-medicaid-responses-to-opioid-epidemic>.

¹⁹⁴ R. Clark et al., "The Evidence Doesn't Justify Steps by State Medicaid Programs to Restrict Opioid Addiction Treatment With Buprenorphine," *Health Affairs* 30, no. 8 (2011): 1425–33; Deck et al., "Medicaid Coverage, Methadone Maintenance, and Felony Arrests: Outcomes of Opiate Treatment in Two States."

¹⁹⁵ Clark et al., "The Evidence Doesn't Justify Steps by State Medicaid Programs to Restrict Opioid Addiction Treatment With Buprenorphine."

¹⁹⁶ B. Saloner et al., "Changes in Buprenorphinenaloxone and Opioid Pain Reliever Prescriptions After the Affordable Care Act Medicaid Expansion," *JAMA Open* 1, no. 4 (2018): e181588; A. Sharp et al., "Impact of Medicaid Expansion on Access to Opioid Analgesic Medications and Medication-Assisted Treatment," *American Journal of Public Health* 108, no. 5 (2018): 642–48; H. Wen et al., "Impact of Medicaid Expansion on Medicaid-Covered Utilization of Buprenorphine for Opioid Use Disorder Treatment," *Medical Care* 55, no. 4 (2017): 336–41.

¹⁹⁷ N. Birnbaum et al., "Termination of Medicaid Policies and Implications for the Affordable Care Act," *American Journal of Public Health* 104, no. 8 (2014): e3-4.

¹⁹⁸ A. Gates, S. Artiga, & R. Rudowitz, "Health Coverage and Care for the Adult Criminal Justice-Involved Population" (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2014); D. Rosen et al., "Medicaid Policies and Practices in U.S. State Prison Systems," *American Journal of Public Health* 104, no. 3 (2014): 418–20.

¹⁹⁹ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, "Medications for Opioid Use Disorder Save Lives."

²⁰⁰ M. Regenstein & S. Rosenbaum, "What the Affordable Care Act Means for People With Jail Stays," *Health Affairs* 33, no. 3 (2014): 448–54.

²⁰¹ K. Fiscella, L. Beletsky, & S. Wakeman, "The Inmate Exception and Reform of Correctional Health Care," *American Journal of Public Health* 107, no. 3 (2017): 384–85.

²⁰² American Society of Addiction Medicine, "The ASAM National Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder."

²⁰³ Brinkley-Rubinstein et al., "Criminal Justice Continuum for Opioid Users at Risk of Overdose."

²⁰⁴ Brinkley-Rubinstein et al.